



A WEEKLY COMMENTARY

ON TARGET

- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



The price of Freedom is eternal vigilance –

Print Post Publication Number 381667 00258

Vol.40, No.11

March 26th, 2004

THOUGHT FOR THE WEEK: *"Fundamentally, a community is an association of members for their mutual benefit. There is an unearned increment in association; a profit. To whom does it belong? It is impossible that it could belong to anyone but the people forming the association. But as things are, the people get only a fraction of it.*

"If, however, we look on a country as a company, with the people as shareholders, and the Government as a Board of Directors, we have a true conception of the situation, as it ought to be. It then becomes evident that the proper function of Government is to guide the affairs of the country so as to achieve the best possible 'profit' consistent with prudent management. And it should recommend and arrange for the distribution of a periodic cash dividend..."

– Bryan W. Monahan in *"...Neither Do They Spin..."* 1956

GRACE V LAW – ALWAYS GRACE by Edward Rock:

The issue involved in Graham Strachan's reproving of Bevan O'Regan (*On Target*, 5/3/04) revolves around the theological question resolved in both the Christian faith and Social Credit. Do we live under Law or Grace? Grace being that unearned, unmerited, undeserved credit which accumulates as a gift of God through our cultural heritage, accumulating with each additional individual contribution. It's freedom. Abolish freedom and society's credit dries up; expand freedom and there is a commensurate increase in social credit

Freedom and Law become mutually incompatible when Law restricts Freedom. For Freedom, Social Credit and Law to remain compatible, the principle of power incarnate in the Holy Trinity cannot be compromised, that principle being one member of the Trinity does not become greater than another.

If that principle was observed in the function of the three tiers of government in Australia: Local, State and Federal, the Law as clearly illustrated in Graham Strachan's contribution would not have run amuck and be completely out of balance with the other two members of the trinity. If Local Government enjoyed the same measure of power as the Federal, would private property rights have been eroded as outlined by Strachan?

In my book, *"Trinitarianism: The Threefold Substance of Reality"*, I offer a practical proposal to achieve such balanced distribution of power.

Bevan O'Regan stoutly defended the right to private property. The term 'private' plays a key role in the

IN THIS ISSUE: • *Thought For The Week* • *Grace V Law – Always Grace* • *Silesian-Jewish Roots Of John Kerry Family* • *Kerry's Roots Confirmed By "Australian Jewish News"* • *Soros Bankrolls Anti-Bush Campaign* • *One Year Later: "What Will It Take?"* • *Bush's War Is A Financial Disaster*

"ON TARGET"

March 26th, 2004

Page 1 (41)

extension of Freedom and Social Credit; it cannot be divorced from terms such as personal responsibility, or 'personal sovereignty'. When promoted and encouraged both Freedom and Society's Credit expand.

Graham Strachan's contribution assumed that Law, not subject to checks and balances to protect personal sovereignty and private property, had already won the day, apologising to Bevan that the *fait accompli* was now the reality. That position however is only possible if the Creator of Freedom is dethroned. Both Social Credit and Christianity are about working in harmony with the Creator to maintain and expand Freedom in which the Law plays its correct and limited role. It then becomes part of the Law of Love, which Christ confined to a few words to define the "first and greatest commandment", in company with "the second which is like unto it". Followed by the uncompromising assertion, "On these two laws all the law and the prophets". No endless Law, pharisaic or statute, confounded its simplicity.

I believe we need more Bevan O'Regan's telling "private" property owners to *never* retreat from exercising their God given 'private' rights. But even more, we need more and more Christians petitioning and excoriating the Christian Church until it upholds the Law of Love above and beyond laws which are a complete denial of the Holy Trinity. In history, when the Christian Church plays its God-given role, as in Magna Carta, the presumers to illegitimate power retire in disarray.

Further reading: *"Trinitarianism – The Three-Fold Substance of Reality"* by Edward Rock. Available from all League Book Services.

SILESIA-JEWISH ROOTS OF JOHN KERRY FAMILY by Dariusz Ratajczak Ph.D.:

I have read several articles and reports about John Kerry's ancestors. They are inaccurate and incomplete. Here is my opinion.

John Kerry's great-grandmother comes from the Jewish family Fraenkel. Fraenkels, together with another Jewish family Pinkus, built industrial plant in the Prussian (Silesian) Neustadt (Prudnik) – the small city in Upper Silesia (Oberschlesien) that now belongs to Poland. Prudnik is 10km from the Polish-Czech border and 55km from my home Opole (Oppeln). It is not a Czech, but a Silesian city! Now this industrial plant is named "FROTEX" and produces towels also exported to the U.S.A.

According to the historical data, the ancestors of John Kerry were Jacob Fraenkel, born May 13th, 1809, in Zuelz (now, Biala Prudnicka) – the small town between Prudnik and Opole with the oldest Jewish cemetery in Silesia, and Johanna Fischer, born August 13th, 1816, in Oberglogau (Glogów).

It is a charming Silesian city near the Polish-Czech border (earlier German-Czech one) with the magnificent palace, that belonged to Oppersdorff family, the German-Polish noblemen (some members of Oppersdorff family voted on Poland in the Silesian Plebiscite, 1921).

Jacob and Johanna had a daughter – Mathilde Fraenkel, born in Oberglogau (Glogów) September 14th, 1845. She married Benedict Kohn (not Cohn) from Horni Benesov in Bohemia. So, it is that "famous Bohemian trace" in John Kerry's family tree.

Their child was Fritz Kohn, born May 10th, 1873, the grandfather of Senator John Kerry, who immigrated to America, changed his name, and committed suicide.

Ancestors of the senator were Silesian-Bohemian Jews.

Probably they considered themselves members of the German nation, which was typical for the Jews in Germany (also in Silesia) and in Bohemia from the XIX century to 1933 and sometimes later. Now,

the Germans can say: Kerry is our compatriot. At last Mr. John Kerry married "The German ketchup"! (From an e-mail forwarded by friends of this journal...ed.)

KERRY'S ROOTS CONFIRMED BY AUSTRALIAN JEWISH NEWS: The report of John Kerry's Jewish roots is verified in an article in the *Australian Jewish News* 12/3/04. It writes: "John Kerry will take on George W. Bush in November's U.S. election for the White House, and if he is successful he will be the first U.S. president with Jewish roots."

In a cartoon on the same page a male is stating: "John Kerry has Jewish roots and Jewish relatives." To which a female replies: "So if he becomes president he'll be living in the White and Blue House?"

SOROS BANKROLLS ANTI-BUSH CAMPAIGN: The following reports are of special interest to those who seek to identify and weigh up the influences behind the office of President of the United States of America.

"Campaign aides to George W Bush yesterday launched legal moves to halt a multi-million pound television advertising campaign, partly funded by the billionaire George Soros, which accuses the president of 'eroding the American dream'.

Bush officials said the advertisements, to be aired in 17 key "battleground" states, were illegal because the group behind them, The Media Fund, accepted unlimited donations from wealthy liberal activists.

Complex new rules limit individual donors to federal elections with legal caps ranging from £1,100 to £2,700. Using a legal loophole, however, the fund said it could spend unlimited contributions on anti-Bush advertisements if they did not explicitly advise viewers to vote for or against him.

The disputed advertisement starts: "President Bush. Remember the American dream? It's about hope not fear. It's about more jobs at home, not tax breaks for shipping jobs overseas." It concludes: "It's time to take our country back from corporate greed and make America work for every American." (That's a bit rich coming from George Soros!...ed)

Bush aides asked the Federal Election Committee to impose 'severe sanctions' against the fund, co-founded by Jim Jordan, a former campaign chief for Sen. John Kerry, the presumptive Democratic presidential nominee.

The fund said the Bush campaign was 'merely trying to scare our donors' and pointed to a conservative group, Citizens United, that has been running anti-Kerry advertisements without prompting Republican complaints.

Citizens United, founded by a former Republican congressional aide, has taken contributions to create television advertisements calling Sen. Kerry 'a rich, liberal elitist from Massachusetts who claims he's a man of the people'.

Campaign finance reforms at the heart of the row were backed by Democrats and opposed by Republicans.

They have proved much more restrictive for Democrats, who used to raise unlimited sums from companies, trade unions and rich liberals. Mr. Bush has been much more successful at raising money under the new laws, attracting record sums in individual, £1,000 gifts." – **Telegraph** (UK) by David Rennie, 3/11/04.

ONE YEAR LATER: "WHAT WILL IT TAKE?" by Raji Sourani – The Electronic Intifada, March 16th, 2004: One year ago today 23-year-old Rachel Corrie was killed. This striking young American, representing the values of the American people if not its current government, was run over by an Israeli bulldozer while protesting house demolitions in the southern Gaza Strip city of Rafah.

Rachel was the first international to be killed while peacefully protesting illegal Israeli military actions in the occupied Palestinian territories, yet her death has proven insufficient to shock the international community from its lethargic slumber. "What will it take?" asks Raji Sourani, director of the Palestinian Center for Human Rights in Gaza. <http://electronicIntifada.net/v2/article2512.shtml>

BUSH'S WAR IS A FINANCIAL DISASTER by Eric Margolis – *The Toronto Star*, Canada, Sunday, March 14th, 2004: "...The famous words of King Pyrrhus of Epirus after the bloody battle of Heraclea in 280 BC are as appropriate for America's conquest of Iraq: "One more such victory and we are ruined."

The March, 2003, invasion of Iraq pitted the world's greatest military power against the largely inoperative army of a small, dilapidated nation of only 17 million (deducting rebellious Kurds), crushed by 12 years of sanctions and bombing.

Thanks to total air superiority, invading U.S. forces achieved a brilliant feat of logistics, racing from Kuwait to Northern Iraq in under three weeks. The 15% of Iraq's army that stood and fought was pulverized by massive, co-ordinated U.S. air strikes and artillery barrages. Urban resistance failed to materialise.

The rout of Iraq's forces recalled another colonial war, the Dervish Campaign of 1898. Gen. Kitchener led the imperial British Army far up the Nile into Sudan where it met and massacred a primitive Islamic host at Omdurman. Britain's quick-fire guns and artillery mowed down Dervish cavalry and sword-waving "fuzzy-wuzzies" as murderously as U.S. precision munitions vapourized Iraqi units.

U.S. air and ground forces in Iraq displayed superb technical, electronic, logistic and combat prowess confirming they are two full military generations ahead of nearly all other nations. But as the great modern military thinker, Maj-Gen J.F.C. Fuller, observed 40 years ago, the proper objective of war is not military victory but a politically advantageous peace.

While the U.S. won an inevitable military victory against a nearly helpless Iraq, political victory so far remains elusive...

Neo-con promises of 'liberation' of Iraq, of joyous, flower-tossing crowds and of rapid 'democratisation' have turned to dust. Iraq remains a dangerous, volatile mess seething with violence and implacable Shia political demands. Twenty resistance groups now battle U.S. and allied occupation troops. Militant Islamic jihadis are heading for Iraq to fight 'Great Satan' America. Yet Bush still claims invading Iraq made America safer.

However, because of Iraq, much of the world now regards America itself as a menacing, unstable threat. President Bush has stuck his head into a hornet's nest. The U.S. will bleed men, money and reputation for a long time before it figures out how to get out of the first colonial misadventure of the 21st century." © : t r u t h o u t 2004.

"ON TARGET" is printed and published by The Australian League of Rights, 145 Russell St., Melbourne.
Postal Address: GPO Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001. Telephone: (03) 9650 9749, Fax: (03) 9650 9368.
Subscription \$40.00 p.a.